Abstract—Developing precise artificial retinas is crucial because they hold the potential to restore vision, improve visual prosthetics, and enhance computer vision systems. Emulating the luminance and contrast adaptation features of the retina is essential to improve visual perception and efficiency to provide an environment realistic representation to the user. In this paper, we introduce an artificial retina model that leverages its potent adaptation to luminance and contrast to enhance vision sensing and information processing. The model has the ability to achieve the realization of both tonic and phasic cells in the simplest manner. We have implemented the retina model using 0.18\textmu m process technology and validated the accuracy of the hardware implementation through circuit simulation that closely matches the software retina model. Additionally, we have characterized a single pixel fabricated using the same 0.18\textmu m process. This pixel demonstrates an 87.7-% ratio of variance with the temporal software model and operates with a power consumption of 369 nW.

Index Terms—Neuromorphic circuits, Silicon retina, Adaptation, Tau-cells, Bio-inspired, Contrast gain control, Spiking.

I. INTRODUCTION

Frame-based image sensors are commonly used in the majority of vision-based systems. These sensors offer several advantages, including a smaller pixel pitch and a high fill factor. Moreover, they employ double sampling techniques such as correlated double sampling (CDS) or delta-reset sampling (DRS) to effectively reduce fixed-pattern noise (FPN). However, these image sensors are very slow, as all pixels are read in each frame regardless of the dynamics of the scene. Due to the frame-based readout scheme, the bandwidth of the system gets wasted, and the imaging system cannot perform well in a highly dynamic scene. When a fast-moving object is to be detected, the frame rate has to be increased. However, this results in an increase in power dissipation and the amount of generated data. Mechanisms for detecting regions of interest can also be used; however, it comes at the cost of higher computation [1]–[3].

Biological vision sensors, on the other hand, operate in a fundamentally distinct manner, drawing inspiration from the biology of the retina. Within the biological retina, specialized photoreceptor cells (rods and cones) respond to changes in light intensity. When light strikes these cells, they undergo an electrochemical process that generates nerve impulses, or spikes, which are transmitted to interconnected neurons. Similarly, in artificial neuromorphic vision sensors, when the light intensity sensed by a pixel undergoes a significant change, it triggers a spike that is transmitted to its linked neurons. In this approach, the sent-out data is only from the pixels which are detecting an event in terms of intensity change beyond a threshold [4]–[10]. Hence, the transmission bandwidth is only consumed by the activated pixels. Because of the fast response, wide dynamic range, low latency, and effective sensor processing, bio-inspired vision sensors have become increasingly appealing in recent years [11]–[14].

Mahowald and Mead created the first silicon retina [4], which uses a diffuser grid to compute spatial contrast and includes photoreceptor cells, horizontal cells, and bipolar cells. Boahen and Andreou expanded on this approach by employ-
ing two coupled diffuser grids and more complex biological models [5]. The CSEM Neuchatel team demonstrated a device whose output conveys spatial contrast rather than temporal contrast [6], which is a combination of an event and a frame-based vision sensor. The team of Etienne-Cumming developed a temporal change threshold detection imager [7], which changes the typical active pixel sensor (APS) CMOS pixel to detect a quantized absolute change in illumination. The spatial contrast retina [8] produces outputs in the form of events and features on-chip calibration. A voltage-based dynamic vision sensor (DVS) converts the temporal contrast (TC) of the intensity of light into address events that are sent asynchronously for processing [10]. However, it does not implement explicit filtering and instead relies on non-linear filtering from parasitic capacitances in the front end.

In this work, we present a silicon retina model that closely emulates the functions and characteristics of the natural retina. Here, we adopted a current mode design approach to implement the required functions and filters, as these make it easy to implement some of the non-linear equations and the linear filters. The development of accurate and energy-efficient retinal circuits is important for advancements in retinal implants. Despite the existence of various artificial retinal implementations, the quest for a more realistic and precise model remains crucial. The motivation behind this work is to maximize information transmission or feature detection and processing by successfully incorporating essential features such as spatio-temporal band-pass filtering, luminance adaptation, contrast gain control, tonic cells, and phasic cells. We aim to enhance the sensory system’s capacity to detect stimulus edges, maintain perceptual sensitivity in varying illumination conditions, optimize information transmission, and extract crucial visual features. The center-surround structure of the receptive field plays a vital role in promoting lateral inhibition, which improves the sensory system’s capacity to detect the edges of stimuli. To maintain perceptual sensitivity in different illumination conditions, the temporal dynamics and gain of neural response must be adjusted through adaptations such as luminance and contrast gain control. Emulating the behavior of tonic and phasic cells is important to detect the presence of the visual stimulus and detect important features from it. Several studies have supported the importance of lateral inhibition, luminance adaptation, contrast gain control, tonic cells, and phasic cells [15]–[27]. The results of this study have the potential to drive significant advancements in computer vision, providing a solid foundation for the development of more efficient and reliable visual recognition systems.

To replicate these biological features in hardware, analog neuromorphic circuits can be the best option as supported by the previous studies [4], [28]–[32]. The low power consumption of neuromorphic circuits is attributed to their typical operation on the principle of collective computations and functioning within the weak inversion region of a transistor. However, the circuit dynamics of a biological retina necessitate relatively long time constants, which are difficult to achieve in semiconductor-based integrated circuits. Furthermore, analog circuits are not easily reconfigurable due to their reliance on the precise tuning of circuit parameters and their sensitivity to environmental factors, making it difficult to make changes without significant redesign. This restricts their versatility to perform different functions.

A novel bio-plausible spatio-temporal silicon retina is developed by employing neuromorphic analog VLSI circuits, with the simplified schematic representation depicted in Fig. 1. The design introduces novelties in both emulating biological features on the chip and the circuit design. The following elements are key contributions of this work:

- The analog silicon retina developed in this study features a non-separable center-surround filter that takes into account the natural delay of the surround signal transmission observed in real retinas. The outer plexiform layer (OPL) is spatially implemented as a difference of Gaussians (DoG) and a biphasic filter temporally. As a result, the spatio-temporal OPL filter can detect both edges and movements simultaneously.
- Unlike a separable filter, this center-surround filter is capable of detecting changes in luminosity even in a region where the luminosity is uniform.
- Luminance adaptation is represented using a high-pass filter.
- Contrast gain control properties of bipolar cells subject to shunting inhibition, are modeled as a leaky integrator with a leak conductance that is determined by the spatio-temporal neighborhood of contrast magnitude.
- This retina involves adaptable band-pass temporal filtering. Tonic cells and phasic cells are realized in this retina by changing the time constant associated with the high-pass filter.
- On the circuit side, a significant achievement is the development of a novel absolute value circuit to develop the contrast gain control mechanism between bipolar cells and amacrine cells.
- The analog silicon retina that has been proposed is capable of reconfiguration, offering a versatile platform to explore the visual operations of retinal circuits in a natural visual environment.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: Section II and III provide a description of the retina architecture and elaborate on the retinal pixel design. Results and discussion are presented in Section IV, and the article concludes with Section V.

II. RETINA ARCHITECTURE

The Convis retina model [33] serves as the basis for the silicon retina architecture, which includes all major types of neurons found in the layers of the biological retina, from photoreceptors to ganglion cells. The absorption of photons by photosensors is illustrated in the simplified schema of the retina model presented in Fig. 1a. The lateral inhibition is mediated by horizontal cells creating a center-surround receptive field. The photoreceptors and horizontal cells are collectively done by the OPL layer. The function of this OPL layer is to operate as an edge and a movement detector at the same time. It is implemented by using spatial filters, temporal high-pass, and low-pass filters. The output of the OPL layer is
Fig. 2. (a) CMOS implementation of photocurrent transduction circuit consisting of photodiode (PD) and a feedback loop implemented by M1, M5 to increase the bandwidth as shown in [34]. (b) CMOS implementation of first-order log domain low-pass filter (tau-cell) as shown in [35] with N-Type MOSFETs models $E_C$ and $E_S$ of Eq. (1) and (2); (c) Similar implementation shown with P-Type MOSFETs models $E_A$ from Eq. (14), Eq. (12) and (13); (d) CMOS implementation of first-order log domain high-pass filter (tau-cell) as shown in [36] implements $T_C$ of Eq. (1); (e) Frequency response showing low pass characteristic of first-order log domain low-pass filter (tau-cell) as shown in Fig. 2b; (f) Frequency response showing high pass characteristics of first-order log domain high-pass filter (tau-cell) as shown in Fig. 2d.

a band-limited signal. This signal is fed into the contrast gain control stage for adapting different levels of light contrast. At the intersection of bipolar and amacrine cells, this gain control mechanism occurs through the utilization of a spatial filter, temporal low-pass filters, and a static activation function. The output of this stage is rectified before feeding it to the LIF neuron to generate spikes. The LIF neuron acts as a ganglion cell in the biological retina. Subsequent subsections provide a detailed description of each stage of the architecture.

A. Outer Plexiform Layer (OPL)

The OPL layer uses a collection of linear filters to transform the luminance input into two distinct signals: the center current $I_C$, and the surround current $I_S$. These two signals are subsequently subtracted from each other to produce the OPL current $I_{OPL}$ [27], [33] as

$$I_C = G_C \ast T_C \ast E_C \ast L$$

$$I_S = G_S \ast E_S \ast I_C$$

$$I_{OPL} = I_C - I_S$$

where $L$ represents the luminance input, while $G_C$ and $G_S$ denote spatial (Gaussian) filters applied to the center and surround areas, respectively. Additionally, $T_C$ denotes a high-pass filter, while $E_C$ and $E_S$ represent low-pass filters. The asterisk symbol (*) represents the convolution operation. The center signal and surround signal are associated with the activity of photoreceptors and horizontal cells in the biological retina. The current-mode analog circuit realization of the OPL elements is discussed below.

1) Luminance input ($L$): The circuit illustrated in Fig. 2a transforms collected photons into an electric current, resulting in the luminance input $L$. The transistor M1 is a common-source amplifier, and M5 is a feedback transistor (a source follower). The source follower detects photocurrent and establishes a feedback mechanism. As a result, the voltage across the photodiode is clamped to the output voltage of the common-source amplifier. The inclusion of this high-gain negative feedback loop that connects the source and gate of the current-sensing MOSFET in the source-follower configuration can lead to an improvement in the response speed of the photodiode [34]. The pMOS transistors M3 and M4 mirror the generated photocurrent. In the absence of the feedback transistor, the bandwidth provided by the photosensor is severely limited due to the diode junction capacitance and parasitic capacitance associated with the output node. These capacitances need to be charged or discharged by the very small photocurrent (in the order of $pA$). Because the current flowing through the capacitors (i.e., the photocurrent) is extremely small, the charging process of these capacitances takes a significant amount of time. Hence, there is a delay
where we have a change in photocurrent due to the transient of this photocurrent mirroring stage, which thus has a low-pass-filtering effect on the photocurrent.

2) Temporal low-pass filter \( (E) \): The Log domain circuit design approach is employed to create the temporal low-pass filter in current-mode analog circuits [37]–[39]. It is the easiest and most efficient way to describe the first-order differential equation for a filter. The circuit diagram of the temporal low-pass filter (nMOS-and pMOS-based) is depicted in Fig. 2b and 2c. The transistors in the circuit are operating in the weak inversion region. This circuit, also known as tau-cell, is built around the translinear loop as defined in the below equation as

\[
i_{\text{IN}} \cdot I_{\text{tauL}} = (I_{\text{tauL}} + i_c) \cdot i_{\text{OUT}}
\]

The loop comprises two current sources, \( I_{\text{tauL}} \) and \( 2I_{\text{tauL}} \), as well as a capacitor. Because the current source \( I_{\text{tauL}} \) supplies a constant current, \( V_{\text{bi}} \) remains constant in Fig. 2b, and hence, changes in capacitor voltage appear as changes in \( V_{\text{ph}} \). This circuit includes programmable DC gain, which may be increased or decreased by changing the currents \( I_{\text{tauL}} \) or \( 2I_{\text{tauL}} \).

Voltage sources \( V_{\text{bias}} \) and \( V_{\text{phias}} \) are used in place of common grounds in nMOS and pMOS tau-cell filters depicted in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2b, if the source terminal of M7 is connected to the ground and both M7 and M8 carry the same currents, then the source terminal of M8 is also ground. But the source terminal of M8 is attached to a current source carrying the current \( 2I_{\text{tauL}} \). If the current source is implemented using a MOS transistor, the potential of both the drain and source is zero. In order to avoid the source of M8 going to zero potential, \( V_{\text{bias}} \) is used in Fig. 2b. The time constant of the filter in this circuit is independent of the input signal, which is a significant advantage. The equation describes the relationship between the input and output currents as given by,

\[
\tau_l \frac{d i_{\text{OUT}}}{dt} + i_{\text{OUT}} = i_{\text{IN}}
\]

(5)

where \( \tau_l \) is the time constant and the transconductance \( gm9 \) is determined by \( I_{\text{tauL}} \), the current through the transistor M9. This first-order linear differential equation implies that the above circuit operates as a current domain first-order low-pass filter. The time constant remains constant regardless of input current change, and it is regulated by the external bias current \( I_{\text{tauL}} \). These filters can achieve long-time constants similar to those of the biological retina. Retinal processing involves low-pass filtering, which begins at the photoreceptor level with the complex phototransduction cascade and continues in subsequent layers due to synaptic delays and the integration of synaptic currents by the cell membranes. The frequency response of the tau-cell low-pass filter is depicted in Fig. 2e. The cut-off frequency is chosen based on the biological time constant as in the software model.

3) A temporal high-pass filter \( (T) \): The interaction between inhibitory and excitatory cells within various layers of the biological retina produces high-pass filtering behavior, as explained in [40]. Inhibitory cells such as horizontal cells in the outer plexiform layer (OPL) and amacrine cells in the inner plexiform layer (IPL) contribute to this effect. The high-pass response observed in a biological retina is influenced by various control loops, including those related to photosensitivity. Short-term luminescence of photoreceptor cells is captured by the high-pass filter [33]. The analog retina circuit can replicate the functioning of tonic and phasic cells that are present in the biological retina by
Mathematically, these biological processes can be viewed as spatial averaging of the input signal. If \( I(x, y) \) is the pixel intensity at position \((x, y)\), then the sum of products of all neighboring pixels connected to \( I(x, y) \), as well as the corresponding weights of all edges, are described as

\[
I(x, y) = w(x-1, y) * I(x-1, y) + w(x+1, y)* I(x+1, y) + w(x, y-1) * I(x, y-1) + w(x, y+1) * I(x, y+1)
\]  

(7)

This procedure applies to every pixel in an image. The diffusion network, shown in Fig. 3a, computes Eq. (7) and is employed in the construction of such a filtering process [41]–[43], [44].

Current injected at a node in the diffusion network diffuses laterally and decays at a distance defined by the diffusion length \( L \) [32],

\[
L = 1/\sqrt{RG}
\]

(8)

where \( R \) is horizontal resistance, and \( G \) is vertical grounded conductance. Since the network is linear, the effects of currents injected at different nodes superimpose in the resistive grid, where resistance values correspond to the weights of the equation. Since controlling diffusion length is challenging, transistors that operate in the subthreshold region are used to replace the resistors. This is illustrated in Fig. 3b.

Resistance or conductance between the nodes can be changed by varying the transistor gate-to-source voltage. In other words, the current between the drain and source terminals \( I_{ds} \) is used to define the weights. The equation for \( I_{ds} \) is written as,

\[
I_{ds} = I_o \exp \left( \frac{V_{ds}}{\eta U_T} \right), \quad (V_{ds} > 4U_T)
\]

(9)

where, \( I_o \) represents the residual saturation drain current, \( \eta \) denotes the slope factor, and \( U_T \) represents the thermal voltage. Since the transistors are operating in a weak inversion region, the diffusion length can be rewritten as [45]

\[
L = \exp \left( \frac{V_{CR} - V_{CG}}{2\eta U_T} \right)
\]

(10)

\[
= \sqrt{\frac{V_{CR}}{I_{CG}}}
\]

(11)
where $I_{CR}$ and $I_{CG}$ are control currents proportional to $V_{CR}$ and $V_{CG}$.

Gap junctions connect photoreceptors to each other in the outer plexiform layer, and a spatial filter is employed to incorporate the spatial blurring caused by these junctions. Horizontal cells are closely interconnected through gap junctions and synaptic pooling. They have larger receptive fields and are specialized for pooling and processing visual information over larger spatial scales. The larger receptive field and low-pass nature of horizontal cells mean that they need to use a spatial filter with a larger sigma value (standard deviation of a 2D Gaussian function) to effectively capture and process the visual information over these larger scales. In contrast, photoreceptors, with their smaller receptive fields, do not need such a large sigma value in their spatial filter as they are better suited to detect fine details in the visual scene. In the biological retina, horizontal cells receive signals from photoreceptors with a delay. This delay is emulated using a temporal low-pass filter. Even while the delay between the center and surround signals is small, barely a few milliseconds in mammalian retinas, it has major perceptual repercussions [40]. Due to the delay in transmitting the surround signal, the center-surround OPL filter can detect temporal changes in brightness, even in areas that have uniform spatial characteristics. The exponential filters and the difference of Gaussians (DoG) are used to generate the OPL layer as shown in Eqs. (1-3).

### B. Contrast Gain Control Mechanism in Bipolar Cells

The visual system must cope with a wide range of light levels, spanning about 14 orders of magnitude [46]. Photoreceptors cannot cover such a range, hence our eyes need to adapt to sudden changes in light levels. To address this issue, the visual system employs multiple stages of gain control, including iris constriction, photoreceptor adaptation, and cortical lateral inhibition [47], [48]. The gain control between bipolar cells and amacrine cells in the retina is referred to as contrast gain control, whereby the output gain is controlled by the input contrast. Experimental evidence demonstrated this by presenting different contrast stimuli across the receptive field of the cell and evaluating the input-output relationship.

The equation that models the mechanism of contrast gain control [27], [33] is mentioned in the following text. It is a non-linear feedback loop that adapts the gain according to local contrast. Modeling the contrast gain control properties of bipolar cells subject to shunting inhibition involves a leaky integrator with leak conductance that depends on a spatio-temporal neighborhood of contrast magnitude as given by,

$$I_{Bip}^+ = C \frac{dV_{Bip}^+}{dt} = I_{C} - g_{A} V_{Bip}^+ \quad (12)$$

Here, the center signal $I_{C}$ from the OPL layer is gain-controlled by bipolar-amacrine synapses modeled as a leaky integrator. Similarly, the surround signal $I_{S}$ is gain-controlled by the equation as

$$I_{Bip}^- = C \frac{dV_{Bip}^-}{dt} = I_{S} - g_{A} V_{Bip}^- \quad (13)$$

The process of generating the gain-controlled currents $I_{Bip}^+$ and $I_{Bip}^-$ are regulated by a feedback mechanism in bipolar cells. This feedback loop adjusts the sensitivity of the visual system to local contrast by integrating linear current $I_{C}$ and $I_{S}$ into the bipolar cell with potential $V_{Bip}^+$ and $V_{Bip}^-$. This feedback is provided by the leak conductance $g_{A}$ as

$$g_{A} = G_A * E_A * Q(I_{Bip}) \quad (14)$$

Since the leakage determines the gain of current integration, $g_{A}$ has a divisive effect. At the same time, $g_{A}$ defines the time constant of Eq. (12) and (13). $g_{A}$ is not the instantaneous input from a single pixel, it is a spatially ($G_A$) and temporally ($E_A$) smoothed version of the neighboring pixels and $g_{A}$ can vary based on the static activation function $Q$.

$$Q(I_{Bip}) = g_{A}^R + \lambda_{A}(I_{Bip}^+ - I_{Bip}^-) \quad (15)$$

The $Q$ function is the non-linearity that characterizes the gain control behavior employed in the software model [33]. $Q$ is assumed to have a parabolic shape, implying different behaviors of the system, depending on the contrast. In high contrast, $Q$ enters into a high-value range, and the leakage of the integrators shown in Eq. (12) and (13) is large. In small contrast, the values of $Q$ are small and the leakage of the integrators is small. This way a cell is automatically adapted to the contrast of the neighboring pixels. The contrast of neighboring cells affects how a cell will respond to its input. In Eq. (15), the variable $g_{A}^R$ corresponds to the inert leaks in the membrane integration process. Conversely, $\lambda_{A}$, also present in Eq. (15), determines the magnitude of the gain control feedback loop. This $Q$ function is approximated by the absolute value circuit which has the response of a full-wave rectifier as shown in Fig. 4b.

We have designed a novel absolute value circuit to model the $Q$ function using current-mode circuits, as depicted in Fig. 4a. In this circuit, the input currents are $I_{Bip}^+$ and $I_{Bip}^-$, and the output current is $Q(I_{Bip})$. The voltage at the drain node of M30 is small when the current $I_{Bip}^+ > I_{Bip}^-$. When the node voltage is sufficiently small to turn the pMOS transistor M31 ON, the diode-connected loop forms around the transistor M29. The current $I_{Bip}^+$ flows through M29, and it generates corresponding voltage $V_{gs}$ across the gate and source of M29. Note that $V_{gs}$ of M29 is shared with the gate and source of M30, and the current $I_{Bip}^-$ should flow through M30 as well. Since the current $I_{Bip}^-$ is sufficiently small, the transistor M30 pulls the current through M34. The transistors M29 and M30 now operate as a current mirror. When the current $I_{Bip}^+$ is increased, the current through M30 increases linearly via M34.

$$I_{34} = \frac{(W30/L30)}{(W29/L29)} I_{Bip}^+ \quad (16)$$

If $I_{Bip}^+ < I_{Bip}^-$, the circuit operates in the same manner as in the previous case and current through M33 is now increasing linearly as $I_{Bip}^- - I_{Bip}^+ grows$.

$$I_{33} = \frac{(W29/L29)}{(W30/L30)} I_{Bip}^- \quad (17)$$

At the output node

$$Q(I_{Bip}) = I_{33} + I_{34} \quad (18)$$
causes behind the static non-linearities of the biological retina. In this function, the negative data are

\[ I_{\text{non-linearity function}} \]

where \( I \) is the bipolar layer output current and \( N \) is the static non-linearity function upon \( I_{\text{Bip}} \). \( I_{\text{leak}} \) is the leak of the static non-linearity function. In this function, the negative data are chopped off. Saturations and synaptic transmissions are some causes behind the static non-linearities of the biological retina.

The circuit implementation of the static non-linearity function is shown in Fig. 4c, and it is formed by the diode-connected transistors M37 and M38, as well as M35 and M36. The diode-connected transistor M38 removes any negative samples of the bipolar output. The half-wave rectification function is performed by the diode-connected transistors M37 and M38. The transistor M35 exhibits a leakage function, with a value beyond which the transmission transitions to a linear state. The response of the circuit implementation of the static non-linearity function is as shown in Fig. 4d. The equation for the current \( I_{\text{Gang}} \) from the circuit is written as

\[ I_{\text{Gang}} = \frac{(W36/L36)}{(W37/L37)} (I_{\text{Bip}} + I_{\text{leak}}) \]  

2) Ganglion layer: Non-spiking neurons do most calculations in the biological retina up to this point. However, the biological retina output is spike train and contains information about the scene. Ganglion cells are responsible for generating spikes in the actual retina, and LIF neurons are used to mimic them in this retina implementation. The conversion of the signal \( I_{\text{Gang}} \) that varies continuously over time, into discrete sets of single spikes is achieved through the use of the following formula as

\[ \frac{dV_n}{dt} = I_{\text{Gang}} - g_L V_n \]  

where the cell potential is denoted by \( V_n \), and the time constant is represented by \( g_L \). When a neuron is in its refractory period, \( V_n \) remains constant at 0. Once \( V_n \) surpasses a specific limit, it is then reset to 0. Mihalas–Niebur neuron model is implemented using log-domain current-mode circuits [51], [53], as shown in Fig. 5. To represent the leaky integration of a neuron, a first-order low-pass filter configured as a tau-cell is employed. This tau-cell is enclosed within a blue box and formed by transistors M39 to M42. The filter creates a current \( I_{\text{mem}} \) at its output. To generate a spike, \( I_{\text{mem}} \) is copied by using the current mirror transistors M45 and M46 (yellow box). It is compared using the constant threshold current \( I_{\phi} \). Because \( I_{\text{mem}} \) can be approximately close to \( I_{\phi} \), a current-limited inverter, comprised of transistors M47 and M48 and enclosed in a green box, is incorporated to bring down power consumption. This inverter generates a digital value \( V_{\text{spike}} \), and it results from a comparison between \( I_{\text{mem}} \) and \( I_{\phi} \). The second inverter pair (purple box) formed by M49 and M51 to generate a positive voltage spike \( V_{\text{spike}} \) with a slight delay regarding \( V_{\text{spike}} \). As indicated in the orange box, positive feedback is implemented by employing PMOS transistors M45, M44, and M43, with the feedback relying on \( V_{\text{spike}} \). The transistor M0 is used to reset the membrane current to a particular value, and this value is regulated by \( V_{\text{EL}} \).

### C. Inner Plexiform Layer (IPL) and Ganglion Cells

The second layer of synapses in the retina is represented by the inner plexiform layer (IPL), where bipolar cells, amacrine cells, and ganglion cells interact through synaptic connections. Previous research [47], [49], [50], suggests that the IPL plays a role in contrast gain control. The section below outlines the process of converting the bipolar cell current (\( I_{\text{Bip}} \)) into an excitatory current (\( I_{\text{Gang}} \)), which is then used to trigger output spikes in a leaky integrate-and-fire neuron.

1) Synaptic current upon ganglion cells: The formula to simulate the signal transformation from bipolar cells to ganglion cells is given by,

\[ I_{\text{Gang}} = N(I_{\text{Bip}}) \]  

where \( I_{\text{Gang}} \) is the excitatory current on ganglion cells. \( I_{\text{Bip}} \) is the bipolar layer output current and \( N(I_{\text{Bip}}) \) is the static non-linearity function upon \( I_{\text{Bip}} \). \( I_{\text{leak}} \) is the leak of the static non-linearity function. In this function, the negative data are chopped off. Saturations and synaptic transmissions are some causes behind the static non-linearities of the biological retina.

Hence, full wave-rectified response can be observed at \( Q(I_{\text{Bip}}) \). The approximation in Eq. (18) is intended to capture the essence of the nonlinearity described in Eq. (15) using a simpler, circuit-friendly representation.

The gain-controlled center and surround currents are substracted and passed as a single signal from the bipolar layer,

\[ I_{\text{Bip}} = I_{\text{Bip}}^+ - I_{\text{Bip}}^- \]  

2) Ganglion layer: Non-spiking neurons do most calculations in the biological retina up to this point. However, the biological retina output is spike train and contains information about the scene. Ganglion cells are responsible for generating spikes in the actual retina, and LIF neurons are used to mimic them in this retina implementation. The conversion of the signal \( I_{\text{Gang}} \) that varies continuously over time, into discrete sets of single spikes is achieved through the use of the following formula as

\[ \frac{dV_n}{dt} = I_{\text{Gang}} - g_L V_n \]  

where the cell potential is denoted by \( V_n \), and the time constant is represented by \( g_L \). When a neuron is in its refractory period, \( V_n \) remains constant at 0. Once \( V_n \) surpasses a specific limit, it is then reset to 0. Mihalas–Niebur neuron model is implemented using log-domain current-mode circuits [51], [53], as shown in Fig. 5. To represent the leaky integration of a neuron, a first-order low-pass filter configured as a tau-cell is employed. This tau-cell is enclosed within a blue box and formed by transistors M39 to M42. The filter creates a current \( I_{\text{mem}} \) at its output. To generate a spike, \( I_{\text{mem}} \) is copied by using the current mirror transistors M45 and M46 (yellow box). It is compared using the constant threshold current \( I_{\phi} \). Because \( I_{\text{mem}} \) can be approximately close to \( I_{\phi} \), a current-limited inverter, comprised of transistors M47 and M48 and enclosed in a green box, is incorporated to bring down power consumption. This inverter generates a digital value \( V_{\text{spike}} \), and it results from a comparison between \( I_{\text{mem}} \) and \( I_{\phi} \). The second inverter pair (purple box) formed by M49 and M51 to generate a positive voltage spike \( V_{\text{spike}} \) with a slight delay regarding \( V_{\text{spike}} \). As indicated in the orange box, positive feedback is implemented by employing PMOS transistors M45, M44, and M43, with the feedback relying on \( V_{\text{spike}} \). The transistor M0 is used to reset the membrane current to a particular value, and this value is regulated by \( V_{\text{EL}} \).

### III. PIXEL CIRCUIT

The pixel circuit is implemented based on the retinal equations discussed in Section II. The silicon retina comprises...
Fig. 6. CMOS implementation of (a) outer plexiform layer (OPL) layer with \( I_{\text{ph}}(x, y) \) to photoreceptor cells as the input and center signal, surround signal as the corresponding outputs; (b) Bipolar layer with contrast gain control accepting center signal and surround signal as input from OPL layer and \( I_{\text{Bip}}^+ \), \( I_{\text{Bip}}^- \) as gain controlled outputs; (c) Static activation function passed as input to neuron model implemented in Fig. 5 generating spiking outputs.

Fig. 7. The impulse response of the center component (photoreceptor cell) shown in Fig. 6a for a given impulse input \( I_{\text{ph}} \) to the photoreceptor cell. This response is close to that is seen by Schnapf [52] in the photoreceptor cell of the macaque monkey and it is shown inside the blue box.

Fig. 8. A sample "chirp" test stimulus is passed as input \( I_{\text{ph}} \) to the pixel circuit shown in Fig. 6a.

The contrast gain control circuit in Fig. 6b receives input from the OPL stage. Both the center signal \( I_{C} \) and surround signal \( I_{S} \) are applied as input to two separate pMOS tau-cell log domain low-pass filters. The tau-cell filter output for the center signal is represented by the drain current of M4, and the drain current of M5 is the filter output corresponding to the surround signal.

These two filtered currents are designated as \( I_{\text{Bip}}^+ \) and \( I_{\text{Bip}}^- \), respectively. Currents \( I_{\text{Bip}}^+ \) and \( I_{\text{Bip}}^- \) are flowing through the absolute value circuit formed by transistors M29-M34. The rectified current of the absolute value circuit is then temporally and spatially-smoothed by the temporal low-pass \( (E_{\text{A}}) \) and spatial low-pass filters. The filtered output current of the spatial filter represents \( g_{\text{A}} \) of the Eq. (14). Spatially filtered current \( I_{\text{tau}} \) adjusts the time constant of both the pMOS tau-cell filters. This is how the contrast gain control circuit works in different input contrast. The gain-controlled currents \( I_{\text{Bip}}^+ \) and \( I_{\text{Bip}}^- \) are subtracted at the output of M12 and M13 as shown in Fig. 6c, and the resultant current then passes through the transistors M14-M17 which implements \( N(I_{\text{Bip}}) \) function as depicted in Fig. 4d. The current \( I_{\text{Gang}} \) obtained at the drain of M17 is the input to the LIF neuron that generates spikes.
Fig. 9. A comparison is made between the stages of the software retina model (Convis) and the analog retina circuit, utilizing a chirp stimulus input depicted in Fig. 8. Specifically, (a) shows the OPL current $I_{\text{OPL}}$, while (b) compares the software retina OPL current trace to that of the retina circuit. Likewise, (c) shows the bipolar current $I_{\text{bp}}$, and (d) compares the software retina bipolar current trace to the retina circuit. Additionally, (e) displays the excitatory current on ganglion cells $I_{\text{gang}}$, and (f) compares the trace of this current to that of the retina circuit. Fig. 9 also illustrates the responses of the software spike generation in (g) and the circuit spike generation in (h).

Fig. 10. Response showing structural similarity index measure (SSIM) to the sinusoidal grating stimulus ($8 \times 8$) applied to both the photoreceptor cell in the analog retina pixel array and the software model where (a) Depicts the moving grating stimulus; (b) Illustrates the output current of the ganglion cells ($I_{\text{gang}}$) for the software model (shown in nA scale); (c) Shows the analog circuit current response of the ganglion cells ($I_{\text{gang}}$ shown in nA scale); (d) Shows the local SSIM map with global SSIM value=0.93; Response of the retina to a (32×32) image where (e) Shows the ganglion current $I_{\text{gang}}$ response to the applied image into the software; (f) Shows the analog retina response of ganglion current $I_{\text{gang}}$ (shown in nA scale); (g) Shows the local SSIM map with global SSIM value=0.91.
Fig. 11. (a) PVT analysis is conducted on an 8\times8 analog retina pixel array, and the current $I_{Gang}$, associated with a pixel for the chirp stimulus shown in Fig. 8, is graphed for the TT, SS, FF, SF, and FS process corners. The supply voltage ranges between 1.6V and 2V, while the temperature spans from -40$^\circ$C to 40$^\circ$C. (b) Shows the SS corner $I_{Gang}$ current response of the ganglion cells (shown in nA scale) for the given 8\times8 grating stimulus; (c) Shows the FF corner $I_{Gang}$ current response of the ganglion cells for the same 8\times8 grating stimulus.

Fig. 12. Monte Carlo analysis is performed on the 8\times8 retina pixel array, producing a plot that corresponds to the $I_{Gang}$ current of a pixel for the chirp stimulus shown in Fig. 8. In this plot, the shaded region is indicative of variance, with the blue waveform depicting the mean. The Monte Carlo analysis involves selecting 200 iterations, utilizing a low-discrepancy sequence as the sampling method, applying the TT process corner under a temperature of 27$^\circ$C, and the supply voltage is 1.8V.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The response of the center-surround OPL filter to an impulse input, as illustrated in Fig. 7, bears a striking resemblance to the one quantified by Schnapf [52] in the retina of the macaque monkey. To ensure that the analog retina circuit matches the software retina model (Convis) [33], various stimuli and retina configurations are compared. To establish the properties of retinal ganglion cells and confirm that the temporal responses obtained from the circuit simulation align with those obtained from the software model, a chirp stimulus (shown in Fig. 8) is used. At the start of the chirp stimulus, there is a pulse that initially decreases, then increases, and then decreases in activity. This is followed by oscillations that grow in both frequency and amplitude, as described in [54]. Identical parameters are used in both circuit simulation and software simulation. The temporal comparisons of OPL, bipolar, and ganglion input layers are shown in Fig. 9. These figures demonstrate that the responses of the analog retina closely match those of the software retina. In Fig. 9, the simulation results are presented for a comparison of different architectures. The OPL layer shows a variance fraction of 94.8$, while the bipolar layer and the ganglion current demonstrate variance fractions of 95.96$ and 94.93$, respectively. These results indicate that the output of the models is sufficiently similar that any differences become imperceptible when generating spikes.

A spatial comparison between the analog retina array and the software retina can be accomplished by employing a sinusoidal grating stimulus, as illustrated in Fig. 10a. The grating stimulus of size 8\times8 is applied as the input to the 8\times8 retina pixel array, and the corresponding $I_{Gang}$ currents of the array are recorded. The images generated from the recorded outputs are compared against the software retina model using similarity index measure (SSIM). The SSIM map [55] is shown in Fig. 10d, along with the global SSIM value. This is a metric for comparing two images spatially. In the local SSIM map, small values of local SSIM appear as blue pixels. Areas where the analog retina output image considerably differs from the software output image correlate to regions with small local SSIM values. Bright red pixels arise when the local SSIM value is high. Large local SSIM regions correlate to uniform portions of the software image, where blurring has a lesser impact. The obtained SSIM value is 0.93. If the SSIM value is close to 1 indicating that both images are similar [55]. A Lena image of size 32\times32 is applied as the input to the analog pixel array (32\times32) and the software retina model. The $I_{Gang}$ current resulting from this input is then plotted, as shown in
A. Performance Analysis

This section shows the performance analysis of the retina pixel array (8 × 8) at 0.18 μm technology node. Process, voltage, temperature (PVT), and noise analysis are reported in this section.

1) PVT Analysis: Fig. 10e and 10f presents an analysis of the impact of supply voltage and temperature variation across five process corners. The MOS transistor corners were typical (TT), slow-slow (SS), fast-fast (FF), slow-fast (SF), and fast-slow (FS). The analysis was conducted on an 8 × 8 analog retina pixel array, specifically centering on the current designated as $I_{Gang}$, which is associated with the response of an individual pixel to the chirp stimulus depicted in Fig. 8. This analysis covered a range of supply voltage between 1.6V and 2V, and temperature variations from -40°C to 40°C were considered.

2) Monte Carlo Analysis: To verify the robustness of the design against process and mismatch variations, a Monte-Carlo (MC) analysis is conducted with 200 runs performed on the 8 × 8 retina pixel array. The analysis utilizes a low-discrepancy sequence sampling method and considers the process corner as TT at a temperature of 27°C. The resulting plot corresponds to a pixel is shown in Fig. 12. The shaded region on the plot indicates variance, while the blue waveform represents the mean.

Fig. 11a shows clear distinctions between the plots of process corners. To verify that the variations are within an acceptable range, we applied the 8 × 8 grating stimulus to the pixel array and plotted the $I_{Gang}$ current at process corners SS and FF as shown in Fig. 11b and 11c. These resulting images exhibit visual similarities to the desired output displayed in Fig. 10c, and their edges are distinguishable.

3) Noise Analysis: This analysis explores how the retina responds to a simple visual stimulus (the sinusoidal grating) under different levels of noise as shown in Fig. 13. The standard deviation ($\sigma$) values represent the intensity or magnitude of noise added to the stimulus, simulating various levels of noise interference that the retina might encounter in real-world scenarios. In practical terms, it could represent various sources of noise that affect the visual signal, including environmental factors like random light fluctuations. As light is made up of a large number of photons, light fluctuations can be modeled as Gaussian noise. To model different scales of noise we vary the $\sigma$ of Gaussian noise. Lower values of $\sigma$ imply cleaner visual input, while higher values of $\sigma$ indicate increased interference, potentially making it harder for the visual system to extract meaningful information.

Noise introduced by the circuit itself can negatively affect the performance of a silicon retina by reducing its accuracy, resolution, and reliability. In this silicon retina, the filters implemented in the OPL stage can minimize the noise produced by the circuits operating within the same OPL stage. Furthermore, in the later stage, the operation carried out at Eq. (19) can further reduce the noise that propagates through the subsequent stages of the silicon retina.

B. Measurement Results

A standard CMOS 0.18 μm process technology from TSMC was used to fabricate the single pixel of the retina, as depicted in the die microphotograph shown in Fig. 14a. To evaluate the functionality of the pixel circuit, a test measurement setup was utilized, as illustrated in Fig. 14b. A custom IC test board was used to mount the test chip, and an interface built using Python programming language was employed to regulate the inputs and outputs. The test chip was directly linked to a high-precision analog testing equipment, which was operated through the interface. The required currents to the chip are supplied by current source equipment (Keithley 6221) and the capacitors in the chip are implemented by using MOSCap. Fig. 15 shows the measured result of the ganglion current $I_{Gang}$ for the same chirp stimulus. Fig. 15 is plotted for the two values of the high-pass filter biasing current $I_{bias}$. The result nearly resembles the intended desired shape and magnitude of the software output, as evidenced by the observation. The ratio of variance obtained for the measured response in comparison with the software retina is 87.7%. To ascertain the amount of delay present in the measured signal, the technique of cross-correlating two signals is employed as stated in [56]. By performing this procedure, a peak is generated that represents the delay between the two signals. As illustrated in Fig. 15c, the peak is located at 0 steps, indicating that there is no time difference between the software and the measured output.

To validate the spatial filtering operation, it is necessary to place a pixel array on the chip. In this context, we initiated a comparative analysis between the circuit simulation results of the analog pixel array and the software retina array. We believe that if we measure a single pixel and it matches the corresponding pixel in the software-based retina, then when we put the pixel array on the chip, it should work properly. By comparing the simulation results of the analog pixel array with the software retina array, we can establish a correlation between the behavior of individual pixels. If the measured pixel aligns with the corresponding software retina pixel, it provides evidence that the pixel array, when implemented on the chip, is expected to exhibit similar functionality. This validation process helps to ensure the feasibility and effectiveness of using the analog pixel array on the chip for spatial filtering operations. However, while matching single-pixel measurements to simulations is a positive indicator, it doesn’t guarantee absolute certainty that the entire array will perform precisely as predicted. Variations can still occur due to factors such as offset, parasitics, edge effects, defects, crosstalk, and environmental conditions. But if we take care of the matching, offset cancellation, parasitic components, proper layout with the guard ring to isolate pixels, and using calibration, we believe that the results in array-level performance will match simulation results reasonably well.

C. Reconfigurability

The functional model of a retina is largely represented by linear filters, with temporal constants and spatial constants being the most significant factors to reconfigure in order to
TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>[10]</th>
<th>[8]</th>
<th>[57]</th>
<th>[58]</th>
<th>[59]</th>
<th>[60]</th>
<th>This Work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functionality</td>
<td>Temporal contrast</td>
<td>Spatial contrast</td>
<td>Temporal contrast</td>
<td>Spatial contrast</td>
<td>Temporal contrast + APS</td>
<td>Spatio-temporal</td>
<td>Spatio-temporal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>0.35µm</td>
<td>0.35µm</td>
<td>0.18µm</td>
<td>0.35µm</td>
<td>0.18µm</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.18µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel complexity</td>
<td>26 transistors, 3C</td>
<td>104 transistors, 1C</td>
<td>77 transistors, 4C,2PD</td>
<td>131 transistors, 2C</td>
<td>47 transistors, 3C</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>83 transistors 5C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array size</td>
<td>128×128</td>
<td>32×32</td>
<td>304×240</td>
<td>32×32</td>
<td>240×180(DVS)</td>
<td>240×180(APS)</td>
<td>128×128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel size (µm)</td>
<td>40×40</td>
<td>58×56</td>
<td>30×30</td>
<td>81.5×76.5</td>
<td>18.5×18.5</td>
<td>178×154</td>
<td>51×114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power/pixel</td>
<td>400nW</td>
<td>9.7µW</td>
<td>1.3µW</td>
<td>264nW</td>
<td>347nW</td>
<td>21.7µW</td>
<td>369nW@10nA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating regimes</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>SI</td>
<td>WI</td>
<td>WI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial contrast</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Diffusive grid neighbourhood</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Diffusive grid neighbourhood</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Resitive network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design based on</td>
<td>Voltage mode</td>
<td>Current mode</td>
<td>Voltage mode</td>
<td>Current mode</td>
<td>Voltage mode</td>
<td>Voltage mode</td>
<td>Current mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware</td>
<td>Analog</td>
<td>Analog</td>
<td>Analog</td>
<td>Analog</td>
<td>Analog and digital FPGA</td>
<td>Analog</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Result Type</td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>Measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply voltage (V)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.8 and 3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.8/3.3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast gain control</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminescence adaptation</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonic and phasic cells</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ The works did not include the corresponding values for the designs they presented.

Figure 14. (a) The die micro-photograph of the fabricated test chip pixel. (b) Measurement setup for the prototyped test chip.

D. Fill Factor

The number of transistors in this analog retina pixel is more than the standard 3T or 4T CMOS image sensor pixel. Thus it can reduce the fill factor and, thereby, the image quality. This was a common disadvantage in analog retinas due to the large size of retinal processing circuits. This issue can now be overcome by the stacked chip method in which the entire photodiodes are placed on one chip, and the retinal computing circuits are on another chip. There will be interconnections between these two chips. The stacked chip method allows more complex retina models to be implemented while keeping a near 100% fill factor.

E. Comparison

Table I presents a comparison between the measured performance of a tau-cell-based analog silicon retina and designs with comparable characteristics that have been documented in previous literature. By examining the table, we can observe that this particular retina possesses both luminance adaptation and contrast gain control, which is not present in other retinas. These mechanisms are crucial in enabling the retina to process a diverse range of visual inputs. In addition, the table demonstrates that the utilization of tonic and phasic
cells within this retina exceeds current standards. Tonic cells preserve a consistent portrayal of a stimulus, while phasic cells demonstrate exceptional ability in detecting changes in the stimulus. This allows the visual system to detect and respond to a wide range of visual stimuli, from low-contrast, slowly moving objects to high-contrast, rapidly changing objects. Table I also shows that the implemented designs consume a minimum number of transistors as compared with spatial contrast retinas. In contrast to other analog silicon retinas, this specific analog retina provides the advantage of reconfigurability. This is important to test or compare other biological retinas.

F. Limitations

The current version of the proposed silicon retina pixel is rectangular, which is unconventional and should be avoided, as it results in different spatial resolutions along the x- and y-axes of the chip. The dimensions of the pixel are large, which will limit the resolution of the image that can be processed. In addition, large pixel arrays have significant parasitic due to the long interconnections (rows or columns), resulting in increased dynamic power consumption. Future versions of the chip will take into consideration these factors and improve the performance and power consumption.

V. Conclusion

In this paper, a novel bio-plausible reconfigurable analog spatio-temporal retina design is presented. Our approach involves taking an established software model of the retina and implementing this in hardware. Building this model from scratch, rather than modifying an existing design, gives us complete control over the selection of building blocks to use. This analog retina incorporates spatio-temporal band-pass filtering in the OPL layer, luminance adaptation, contrast gain control mechanism, tonic, and phasic cells, and spiking. The OPL layer filter exhibits a center-surround structure and is a spatially DoG filter with temporal biphasic properties. As a result, this filter can serve as both an edge and movement detector, which is a crucial feature of this retina. To accommodate varying levels of light contrast, the contrast gain control mechanism is incorporated between bipolar and amacrine cells. This mechanism is observed in the biological retina and implemented through current-mode subthreshold MOS circuits, utilizing a novel absolute value circuit. Additionally, luminance adaptation at the photoreceptor level is represented by a high-pass filter. The presence of both tonic and phasic cells in the retina enables a diverse range of visual processing capabilities. This high-performance silicon retina can be utilized in various applications such as retinal prostheses, autonomous vehicles, robots, and mobile devices, to detect objects and track motion within a scene.
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